

#### INSTITUT D'ENSEIGNEMENT SUPÉRIEUR DE RUHENGERI

B.P. 155, Ruhengeri, Rwanda

T: +250 788 90 30 30, +250 788 90 30 32, W: www.ines.ac.rw, E: info@ines.ac.rw

## DEEP LEARNING-BASED ANALYSIS OF SATELLITE IMAGE TIME SERIES FOR MAPPING FOREST REGENERATION IN AMAZON RAINFOREST

Presented by: Fulgence HATANGIMANA - S2493209

### **Supervisors**

Dr Raian Maretto,

**Prof Claudio Persello** 



THESIS ASSESSMENT BOARD:

dr. Mariana Belgiu dr. Marcos Adami November 2024



## INTRODUCTION

- The Amazon rainforest, particularly the Pará region, experiences significant deforestation.
- Accurate satellite image classification is crucial for environmental monitoring, and urban planning among others.
- However, current methods rely on traditional approaches, which often lack precision, and accuracy, making them timeconsuming.
- This Research Gap introducing a deep-learning approach to improve accuracy in mapping forest regeneration







# **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

To develop and implement a deep learning-based model for analyzing satellite image time series for mapping forest regeneration in the Amazon rainforest.

### Specific objectives

- 1. To explore deep learning-based models, specifically the transformer and hybrid transformer, to accurately identify and distinguish regenerated areas from other classes in the Amazon rainforest.
- 2. To Assess the model's capability by analyzing satellite image time-series data over different time lengths, ensuring improved accuracy and a more realistic representation of the regenerated area.
- 3. Evaluate the best-performing model for mapping regenerated areas from the developed models.
- 4. To quantify the area of secondary forest across the entire study area based on the classification generated by the proposed method.





# **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- 1. Which of the two deep-learning architectures, transformer, and hybrid transformer, is the most suitable for accurately differentiating regenerated areas from other classes in the Amazon rainforest?
- 2. What are the capabilities of the model to effectively analyze time-series data across varying time steps to achieve a more accurate and realistic representation of regeneration in the Amazon rainforest?
- 3. What is the performance of the best-performing model for mapping regenerated areas from the developed models?
- 4. To what extent is there a secondary forest across the entire study area based on the classification generated by the proposed method.?



### **STUDY AREA AND DESCRIPTION**

- Amazon Rainforest: Covers approximately 5.5 million km<sup>2</sup>, making it one of the largest biodiverse ecosystems on Earth.
- Pará State: A major Brazilian state largely covered by the Amazon, with high deforestation and regeneration rates.
- A smaller impacted region in Pará was chosen to optimize the modelling process



Figure1: The study area is the Amazon rainforest in Pará State, Brazil. The large map highlights the study grid (red outline).

## DATASETS USED FOR THIS STUDY

| Dataset                                     |  |           |
|---|--|-----------|
| 1 Land Use and Land Cover Map               | https://brasil.mapbiomas.org             | 2021      |
| <sup>2</sup> Deforestation Data from PRODES | <u>https://terrabrasilis.dpi.inpe.br</u> | 2021      |
| 3 Satellite images                          | USGS Earth Explorer                      | 2012-2021 |
| 4 Brazil tiles                              | Brazill data Cube                        | 2022      |
| 5 Administrative boundaries                 | https://www.diva-gis.org                 | 2022      |

### **Software and tools**

### <u>Software packages</u>





## **METHODOLOGY**

## **Data pre-processing steps :**

Atmospheric correction and cloud masking:

- Could an obscure land surface, making the data from those pixels unusable for tasks like landcover classification.
- Correction masking out the cloud from images that don't represent the land surface.
- Input data : ( samples (S), channels (C), time steps(t<sub>i</sub>)



Figure 2: Workflow for Land Cover Classification using Satellite Imagery and Deep Learning Models

## **DATASET PREPARATION AND MODEL SETUP**



https://brasil.mapbiomas.org/en/colecoes-mapbiomas/



54°40'0"W

Figure 3: Division of large tiles into 64 smaller tiles and splitting them

Figure 5: Distribution of random points for the training

(green), testing (yellow), and validation (orange) sets.

55°20'0"W

#### Water



#### into training (green), validation (orange), and testing (yellow) Sets. Secondary forest



### Primary forest

### Deforestation

56°0'0"W

56°0'0"W

55°20'0''W

Distribution of Training, Validation, and Testing Data

54°40'0"W

54°0'0"W

Legend

Tiles

Training

Testing

Validation

54°0'0"W





54°40'0"W

### **MODEL ARCHITECTURE AND IMPLEMENTATION -OBJ (1)**

### **Standard transfomer**

Hybrid transfomer



**Input Data:** Both models were trained on 1D sequence of pixels across five bands over different time lengths i.e. ( samples (S), channels (C), time steps $(t_i)$ ), which were labelled into various classes such as anthropic, deforestation, primary forest, secondary forest, and water bodies



## RESULTS

#### ASSESSING THE MODEL'S CAPABILITY BY ANALYZING TIME-SERIES DATA OVER DIFFERENT TIME LENGTHS, (OBJ-2)

Research Question 1: Which of the two deep-learning architectures, transformer, and hybrid transformer, is the most suitable for accurately differentiating regeneration areas from other classes in the Amazon rainforest? **This answer Research Q1 & Q2.** 

Table 7: Model capabilities with time series data on performance metrics over different time lengths

| Time length ( $t_i$ ) | Model              | Precision | Recall | F1-<br>score | Overall<br>accuracy (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------|--------------|-------------------------|
| 1                     | Transformer        | 0.71      | 0.71   | 0.71         | 70.80                   |
|                       | Hybrid Transformer | 0.74      | 0.74   | 0.74         | 73.88                   |
| 3                     | Transformer        | 0.81      | 0.81   | 0.8          | 80.74                   |
|                       | Hybrid Transformer | 0.83      | 0.82   | 0.88         | 81.76                   |
| 5                     | Transformer        | 0.84      | 0.83   | 0.83         | 83.70                   |
|                       | Hybrid Transformer | 0.85      | 0.84   | 0.84         | 85.50                   |
| 10                    | Transformer        | 0.86      | 0.85   | 0.85         | 85.48                   |
|                       | Hybrid Transformer | 0.86      | 0.86   | 0.86         | 86.36                   |

(Using testing **points**)



## RESULTS

# **THE PERFORMANCE OF THE BEST-PERFORMING MODEL FOR MAPPING REGENERATED AREAS –OBJ (3)** Q3. What is the performance of the best-performing model for mapping regenerated areas from the developed models?

| Table 8: Performance of the hybrid model | to map regenerated areas | s using test sample points. |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|

|                     | hybrid transformer  |                      |              |                     | Transformer |                      |              |                     |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Class               | Precision<br>(User) | Recall<br>(Producer) | F1-<br>Score | Overall<br>Accuracy | Precision   | Recall<br>(Producer) | F1-<br>Score | Overall<br>Accuracy |
| Anthropic           | 87.98               | 87.8                 | 87.89        |                     | 84.41       | 88.8                 | 86.55        |                     |
| Deforestation       | 84.79               | 78.6                 | 81.58        |                     | 94.49       | 68.6                 | 79.49        |                     |
| Primary Forest      | 79.94               | 86.5                 | 86.5         |                     | 78.56       | 85.4                 | 81.84        |                     |
| Secondary<br>Forest | 81.65               | 81                   | 81.32        |                     | 76.22       | 85.9                 | 80.77        |                     |
| Water               | 97.8                | 97.9                 | 97.85        |                     | 97.92       | 98.7                 | 98.31        |                     |
| Overall<br>Accuracy |                     |                      |              | 86.36               |             |                      |              | 85.48               |

Table 9: Performance of the hybrid model for mapping regenerated areas.

|                     |                     | Transformer          |              |                            |                     |                      |              |                            |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
| Class               | Precision<br>(User) | Recall<br>(Producer) | F1-<br>Score | Overall<br>Accuracy<br>(%) | Precision<br>(User) | Recall<br>(Producer) | F1-<br>Score | Overall<br>Accuracy<br>(%) |
| Anthropic           | 99.42               | 87.56                | 93.02        |                            | 89.98               | 89.12                | 90           |                            |
| Deforestation       | 51.21               | 71.82                | 59.75        |                            | 38.97               | 30.74                | 51.45        |                            |
| Primary<br>Forest   | 94.8                | 94.98                | 94.89        |                            | 95.48               | 98.63                | 97.61        |                            |
| Secondary<br>Forest | 64.95               | 67.73                | 66.32        |                            | 61.24               | 59.69                | 61.91        |                            |
| Water               | 55.42               | 94.29                | 69.85        |                            | 88.81               | 54.1                 | 67.82        |                            |
| Overall<br>Accuracy |                     |                      |              | 81.087                     |                     |                      |              | 79.346                     |



### **QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS**

I. Predicted mans made by Hybrid and Transformer Models



Figure 8: Depicts predicted and reference maps for forest regeneration



### **II. IDENTIFYING AREAS OF ACCURATE PREDICTION**



**Figure 11:** Comparison of model predictions with reference data and historical Landsat images (a)

Figure 12: Comparison of myodel predictions with reference data and historical Landsat



### **III. INACCURATE PREDICTIONS:**

- Models struggled in regions with uncertainties in reference data, etc.
- Hybrid Transformer outperformed the traditional Transformer but still faced difficulties in certain areas.
- Figure 10 shows noisy predictions (third column) versus accurate reference data (fourth column), highlighting misclassification issues.
- The model misclassified cloud cover as forest in the third and fourth rows.
- Understanding these inaccuracies is crucial for refining model training and improving overall accuracy





### QUANTIFICATION OF THE EXTENT OF SECONDARY FOREST -OBJ (4)

**Q4**. To what extent is there a secondary forest across the entire study area, based on the classification generated by the proposed method?.

Model Accuracy: Best-performing model achieved an overall accuracy of 86.38%.



The model effectively identified and quantified various land cover classes, aiding in environmental monitoring and forest management.

### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

The main objective of this research was to develop and implement a deep learning-based model for the analysis of satellite image time series to map forest regeneration areas.

- Successfully developed a hybrid transformer model that accurately identifies and distinguishes regeneration areas in the Amazon, surpassing the traditional transformer with an accuracy of 86.36%.
- □ **Objective 2 Achieved**: Demonstrated the model's capability to analyze time-series data, with significant accuracy improvements at longer time lengths, providing a more realistic and detailed representation of forest regeneration dynamics.
- □ Objective 3 Achieved: The hybrid model outperformed in mapping regenerated areas, showing superior performance in accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score metrics.
- □ Objective 4 Achieved: Quantified the extent of secondary forest across the study area, providing valuable data for monitoring forest recovery and guiding reforestation efforts.
- This research offers a robust tool for large-scale environmental monitoring, with significant implications for forest conservation and climate change mitigation.



# RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Future research should keep exploring hybrid transfomer** that explicitly incorporate space-time dimensions to enhance the accuracy of forest regeneration mapping.
- **Extend Time Series Analysis**: Future studies should continue to explore the potential of hybrid transformer models for handling extended time-series data
- □ **Integrate Additional Data**: Combine satellite imagery with NDVI and DEM data to enhance model accuracy.
- □ Conduct Field Surveys: Owing to the current limitations imposed by inaccuracies in the reference data, it is advised that future research includes comprehensive field surveys.



